REVIEW OF AUDIT/ENGAGEMENT DOCUMENTATION ALGA Peer Review Guide (2011)

TO BE USED FOR AUDITS INITIATED AFTER DECEMBER 15, 2011

In order to provide reasonable assurance that applicable standards are properly considered during audits completed within the City Auditor's Office, a Review of Audit/Engagement Documentation form (Form 1) will be completed by the auditor-in-charge of each audit/engagement completed within the City Auditor's Office.

For each item, the auditor will indicate on the form whether "yes" controls were carried out or "no" controls were not carried out during the audit/engagement. There may be some items in this form that are not applicable to the audit organization. In such cases, the auditor should indicate that the item is "N/A". The column on the far right of the form should be used by the auditor to provide explanatory information or comments such as references to policies and procedures or other governing documents, descriptions of test work, the reason why a particular item does not apply to the audit organization, etc.

The items in this form are abbreviated from the full text included in the Audit Organization Description of Quality Control System (Appendix G). In completing this form, the auditor should consider the full text included in the Description of Quality Control System and relevant *Government Auditing Standards* (GAS), which is referenced in each item.

The peer review team will utilize a version of this form in evaluating whether the City Auditor's Office complied with its established system of internal quality control to reasonably assure compliance with applicable standards contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, 2011 Revision. The review team will also review reports, workpapers, records, files, etc., and interview audit organization management and staff, as deemed appropriate, to assess whether established internal controls were carried out during the review period.

Audit/Engagement Under Review:	
Date Form Completed:	
Name of Auditor Completing Form:	

GENERAL STANDARDS:	Yes	No	N/A	Reviewer Comments
INDEPENDENCE				
 The audit organization and the individual auditor, whether government or public, must be independent (GAS 3.02). Quality Control System procedures should include: a) Verify auditors were independent during the period covered by the subject matter of the audit and the period of the engagement (3.05) b) Identify threats to independence, evaluate their significance, determine if identified threats to independence have been eliminated or are at an acceptable level, and apply and document safeguards as necessary (3.08, 3.20-3.23, 3.24, 3.59) 				
 c) Evaluate the categories of threats to independence: self-interest, self-review, bias, familiarity, undue influence, management participation, and structural (3.14) 				
d) Decline or terminate the audit if threats cannot be eliminated or reduced to an acceptable level. (3.25)				
		2		

GENERAL STANDARDS:	Yes	No	N/A	Reviewer Comments
e) Evaluate the impacts of threats identified after report issuance and take appropriate steps. (3.26)				
(Quality Control System Review Only)				
(Quality Control System Review Only)				
 Evaluate the impact on independence of any previously performed nonaudit services before accepting the prospective audit. (3.42) 		,		
5. When performance of a nonaudit service could impair independence with respect to a required audit, disclose the nature of the threat that could not be eliminated or reduced to an acceptable level and modify the GAGAS statement accordingly. (3.44)				
(Quality Control System Review Only)				
7. (Quality Control System Review Only)				

GENERAL STANDARDS:	Yes	No	N/A	Reviewer Comments
PROFESSIONAL JUDGMENT				
8. Use professional judgment (includes exercising reasonable care and professional skepticism) in planning and performing audits and in reporting the results. (3.60, 3.61)				
COMPETENCE				
9. Assess skill needs to consider whether the essential skills match those necessary to perform a particular audit. (3.69, 3.70)				
10. (Quality Control System Review Only)				
11. Staff assigned to conduct an audit should collectively possess the technical knowledge, skills, and experience necessary. (3.72)				
12. Auditors performing financial audits or attestation engagements should be knowledgeable of applicable standards and competent in their application. (3.73-3.75)				
13. (Quality Control System Review Only)				
14. (Quality Control System Review Only)				

GENERAL STANDARDS:	Yes	No	N/A	Reviewer Comments
15. External/internal specialists assisting with or performing GAGAS audits are qualified and competent. (3.79-3.81)				
QUALITY CONTROL AND ASSURANCE				
16. (Quality Control System Review Only)				
17. (Quality Control System Review Only)				
18. (Quality Control System Review Only)				

FINANCIAL AND ATTESTATION STANDARDS ¹ : AICPA STANDARDS	Yes	No	N/A	Reviewer Comments
19. Follow AICPA standards and similar GAS. (2007 4.01, 4.02, 4.03, 6.01. 6.02, 6.03, 6.04, 6.10, 6.11)				
AUDITOR COMMUNICATION				
20. Communicate understanding of services to be performed, planned testing, level of assurance, and reporting. (2007 4.05-4.08, 5.38, 6.06-6.08)				
PREVIOUS AUDITS AND				
ATTESTATION ENGAGEMENTS				
21. Follow up on findings from prior audits/engagements. (2007 4.09, 6.09)				
FRAUD, ILLEGAL ACTS, VIOLATIONS AND ABUSE				
22. Design work to provide reasonable assurance of detecting material financial misstatements, fraud, illegal acts, and violations that are significant to audit objectives. (2007 4.10, 4.11, 4.26-4.28, 6.13)				

¹ The 2011 Government Auditing Standards are effective for financial audits and attestation engagements for periods ending on or after December 15, 2012. Therefore, until implementation this portion of the Description of Quality Control System references the 2007 Government Auditing Standards.

Was the Standard Complied With?

FINANCIAL AND ATTESTATION

STANDARDS ¹ :	Yes	No	N/A	Reviewer Comments
23. Be alert for indications of material abuse. (2007 4.12, 4.13, 6.14)				
24. Do not interfere with investigations or legal proceedings. (2007 4.29, 5.17, 6.29)				
ELEMENTS OF A FINDING				
25. Develop the elements of a finding that are relevant and necessary to achieve audit objectives. (2007 4.14-4.18, 6.15-6.19)				
<u>DOCUMENTATION</u>				
26. Adequately document work prior to report issuance. (2007 4.19-4.22, 6.20-6.23)				
27. Establish P&P regarding record retention and requests from outside parties. (2007 4.22-4.24, 6.24-6.26)				
REPORTING				
28. Follow AICPA standards and applicable GAS for financial audits and attestation engagements. (2007 5.01-5.03, 6.01-6.02, 6.30-6.31)				
29. Cite GAS in report, disclose when not followed. (2007 1.12-1.13, 5.05-5.06, 6.32)				

Was the Standard Complied With?

FINANCIAL AND ATTESTATION STANDARDS¹.

STANDARDS:	Yes	No	N/A	Reviewer Comments
30. Report on internal control and compliance with laws, regulations, and provisions of contracts or grant agreements. (2007 5.07-5.09)				
31. For financial audits and for attestation engagements as applicable to the objectives, report significant deficiencies in internal control, identifying those considered material weaknesses, consequential fraud and illegal acts, violations of contracts or grant agreements, and abuse. (2007 5.10-5.11, 6.33-6.34)				
32. Report known or likely fraud to those charged with governance and when applicable, to external parties under specific circumstances. (2007 5.18, 6.39)				
33. Presentation of findings should develop the elements of the findings to the extent necessary to achieve the audit objectives. (2007 5.21-5.22, 6.42-6.43)				
34. Advise management to determine effects of new information affecting previously issued financial statements and to make disclosure if material. (2007 5.26)				

Was the Standard Complied With?

FINANCIAL AND ATTESTATION STANDARDS¹.

STANDARDS':	Yes	No	N/A	Reviewer Comments
35. Advise management to make disclosures when: (1) it is likely previously-issued financial statements are misstated, and (2) the misstatement is or could be material. (2007 5.27)				
36. Report views and planned corrective actions of responsible officials. (2007 5.32-5.38, 6.44-6.50)				
37. Report the nature of and requirement for omitted information. (2007 5.39-5.43, 6.51-6.55)				
38. Submit reports to appropriate officials and make available to public. Document any limitation on report distribution. (2007 5.44, 6.56)				

PERFORMANCE STANDARDS:	Yes	No	N/A	Reviewer Comments
<u>PLANNING</u>				
39. Plan and document work necessary to define audit objectives, scope, and methodology such that work provides reasonable assurance that sufficient, appropriate evidence supports conclusions. (6.06, 6.07, 6.10)				
40. Assess audit risk and significance within the context of the audit objectives by gaining an understanding of the following: a) Nature of the program and user needs (6.11a, 6.13)				
b) Design and implementation of internal controls (6.11b, 6.16)				
 c) Design and effectiveness of information system controls (6.11c, 6.24, 6.27) 				
d) Legal, regulatory, contract, and/or grant agreement provisions, and potential fraud and abuse (6.11d, 6.28, 6.30-6.32, 6.34)				
e) Impact on ongoing investigation and legal proceedings (6.11e, 6.35)				
f) Results of previous audits (6.11f, 6.36)				

PERFORMANCE STANDARDS:	Yes	No	N/A	Reviewer Comments
41. Identify potential criteria to the extent relevant to the audit objectives. Planning allows auditors to identify potential criteria and sources of evidence, and evaluate whether to use the work of other auditors or experts. (6.12 a-c; 6.37; 6.38; 6.40-6.42)				
42. Determine the type and amount of evidence needed to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to address the audit objectives. Evaluate whether internal control or other program weaknesses are the cause when auditors conclude that sufficient, appropriate evidence is not available. (6.39)				
43. Extend audit procedures when there are indications that fraud or abuse significant to the audit objectives may have occurred; do not interfere with legal proceedings or investigations. (6.32; 6.34-6.35)				
44. Assess qualifications and independence of specialists. (6.12d, 6.43-44)				
45. Assign sufficient number of appropriately skilled staff and document work performed by specialists. (6.12d; 6.45-6.46)				

PERFORMANCE STANDARDS:	Yes	No	N/A	Reviewer Comments
46. Communicate planned testing and reporting to management, those charged with governance and requestors. Document communications. Follow appropriate requirements if audit is terminated before completed. (6.12e; 6.47-6.50)				
47. Prepare and update a written audit plan. (6.12f; 6.51)				
SUPERVISION				
48. Properly supervise staff. Review work performed and document review of work before issuing the audit report. (6.53-6.55, 6.83c)				
EVIDENCE				
49. Obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide reasonable basis for findings and conclusions. (6.56-6.57)				
50. Document assessment that evidence taken as a whole is sufficient and appropriate for addressing audit objectives and supporting findings and conclusions. (6.58, 6.67, 6.69)				
51. Evaluate testimonial evidence and information provided by officials when used as evidence. (6.62, 6.65)				

PERFORMANCE STANDARDS:	Yes	No	N/A	Reviewer Comments
52. Assess sufficiency and appropriateness of computer-processed information. (6.66)				
53. Based on the assessment of the evidence, apply additional procedures, redefine the audit objectives, or revise the findings and conclusions, if necessary. (6.71-6.72)				
54. Plan and perform procedures to develop the elements of a finding to address audit objectives and develop recommendations for corrective action. (6.73)				
DOCUMENTATION				
55. Prepare and maintain audit documentation related to planning, conducting, and reporting on the audit to support findings, conclusions, and recommendations before issuing the report. (6.79-6.83)				
56. Document departures from GAGAS requirements and the impact on the audit and auditors' conclusions. (6.84)				
57. Make appropriate individuals and audit documentation available to other auditors or reviewers upon request, subject to applicable laws and regulations. (6.85)				

PERFORMANCE STANDARDS:	Yes	No	N/A	Reviewer Comments
REPORTING				
58. Issue audit report, make the report available to the public, unless specifically limited, if audit is terminated, document results of work completed and reason for termination. (7.03-7.04, 7.06)				
59. If, after the report is issued, auditors discover they did not have sufficient, appropriate evidence, follow appropriate procedures. (7.07)				
60. Audit reports should contain the objectives, scope, and methodology of the audit and the audit results. (7.08-7.13)				
61. Present sufficient, appropriate evidence to support the findings and conclusions in relation to audit objectives. Describe any evidence limitations and deficiencies in internal control, etc. (7.14-7.18)				
62. Report scope of work on internal controls and any significant deficiencies found. Refer to separate written communication to officials in audit report. (7.19)				

PERFORMANCE STANDARDS:	Yes	No	N/A	Reviewer Comments
63. Report likely fraud, illegal acts, and significant violations of contracts or grant agreements, or significant abuse. (7.21-7.22)				
64. Report known or likely fraud, illegal acts, violations of contracts or grant agreements, or abuse to any appropriate outside parties. (7.24-7.26)				
65. Report conclusions based on objectives and findings. (7.27)				
66. Recommend actions to correct identified problems and to improve programs and operations. (7.28)				
67. Use the language in GAS 7.30 to cite compliance with GAGAS in report when all applicable requirements are followed, disclose when not followed. (2.23-2.24, 7.08, 7.30-7.31)				
68. Include a copy of written comments from responsible officials or a summary of written or oral comments. Evaluate the validity of the comments and revise report as necessary. (7.08, 7.32, 7.34-7.35, 7.37-7.38)				
69. If information is prohibited from public disclosure or excluded from the report due to its confidential or sensitive nature, disclose that certain		15		

PERFORMANCE STANDARDS:	Yes	No	N/A	Reviewer Comments
information has been omitted and the reason for its omission. (7.08, 7.39, 7.42-7.43)				
70. Submit report to those charged with governance, appropriate officials, and appropriate oversight bodies; document any limitation on report distribution. (7.44)				

Audit Start Letter - Original Audit

City of	
Gainesville	Inter-Office Communication

February 13,

2008

TO: Russ Blackburn, City Manager

FROM: Brent Godshalk, City Auditor

SUBJECT: Review of Local Business Tax Revenues

In accordance with the City Commission approved Annual Audit Plan, the City Auditor's Office is initiating a review entitled Local Business Tax Revenues. The primary objective of this review is to evaluate the system of management control over the collection of local business tax revenues.

The first stage of the review begins with an evaluation of the overall function to better identify the scope of the audit and time requirements. We will then conduct sample testing and make any needed recommendations for improvements. Please let me know if there are specific issues you believe need attention or would benefit from our review.

Staff Auditor Brecka Anderson will conduct this audit and will make every effort to minimize the time requirements of your staff. We will contact Mark Benton, Finance Director in the near future to discuss our review process.

Thank you for your continued assistance and cooperation. If you have any questions or concerns during this review, please feel free to call me.

Thank you for your assistance and cooperation. If you have any questions or concerns during this review, please feel free to call me.

Audit Assignment and Planning Sheet

Office of the City Auditor Gainesville, Florida

ned	Dat	te Assigned				
ned	Dat	te Assigned				
				Date Released		
	1					
s E	Budget	Actual Hours			Revised Target Date	Actual Complete Date
	s E		s Budget Hours	s Budget Hours Comp	s Budget Hours Complete	s Budget Hours Complete Target

(Note: The preliminary survey ends with the completion of the audit work plan. Budgeted hours and a target date for completing the survey should be set at the outset of the audit. All other target dates and budget hours should be set following completion and approval of the fieldwork plan.)

Supervisory Log
City of Gainesville, Florida
Office of the City Auditor

Audit Title: _	 	
Supervisor: _	 	

Date/Time	Meeting/Activity	Participants	Notes/Initials
	_		

Auditor/Reviewer Independence Statement City of Gainesville, Florida Office of the City Auditor

Audit:	
Auditor/Reviewer Statement of Independent	dence
The general standard related to independ Government Auditing Standards, 2011 Rev	dence for government auditing, Section 3.02, vision (GAGAS), states:
"In all matters relating to the audit we auditor, whether government or public,	ork, the audit organization and the individual must be independent."
In order to identify any threats to indepe Auditor's Office to evaluate their signif safeguards as necessary, I have reviewed and evaluated each of the following catego Section 3.14 of GAGAS: self-interest thr threat, undue influence threat, management	nce of mind and independence in appearance. Indence and provide opportunity for the City ficance and properly apply and document of the independence requirements of GAGAS ories of threats to independence as set forth in the eat, self-review threat, bias threat, familiarity on the participation threat and structural threat. In the threat GAGAS standards regarding auditor
Auditor Signature:	Date:
Auditor Signature:	Date:
Reviewer Signature:	Date:
City Auditor's Verification of Staff Indep	pendence
·	named above have no personal, external or pair or give the appearance of impairing the
City Auditor's Signature:	Date:

Finding Development Sheet
Office of the City Auditor
Gainesville, Florida

Audit Title:		
Auditor:	Date:	
Finding:		Workpaper Support
Condition: (Describe "what is")		
Criteria: (describe "what should be")		
Effect: (quantify adverse results)		
Cause: (explain why condition occurred)		
Recommendation: (describe corrective action n	eeded)	
Paviawad by:	Date	
Reviewed by:	Date	
Comments:		

Independent Review Sheet
Office of the City Auditor
Gainesville, Florida

Aud	lit litle:				
Auditor:			Reviewe	er:	
	Date:		Page	_ of	
Point Number and Page or WP Number		Reviewer Points		Resolution	n

New Employee Orientation

Office of the City Auditor Gainesville, Florida

New employees of the Auditor's Office receive an orientation to familiarize them with the City and the Office of the City Auditor. The orientation includes:

Introduction of the City of Gainesville

Attend Human Resources Department new employee orientation session
Attend Sexual Harassment Training
Review City of Gainesville's adopted budget and organization chart
Review City of Gainesville's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR)
Review of City facilities

Introduction to the City Auditor's Office

- i. Receive and review a copy of the City Auditor's *Office Policies and Procedures Manual.*
- ii. Review City Auditor reports from previous year and other selected reports
- iii. Receive orientation briefing from the City Auditor
- iv. Receive orientation to Office administrative procedures
 - a) Bi-weekly time reports
 - b) Vacation/sick leave requests
 - c) Telephone System
 - d) Payroll
 - e) Supplies
 - f) Mail, in-baskets
 - g) Filing systems
 - h) Staff meetings
- v. Receive orientation to Office reference materials
 - a) Library
 - b) Periodicals
 - c) Inventory of audit reports
 - d) Storage spaces
- vi. Receive orientation to Division training and career development procedures
 - a) Training plan
 - b) Training records
 - c) Professional memberships
 - d) School tuition reimbursement

OFFICE OF THE CITY AUDITOR **CITY OF GAINESVILLE**

AUTO EXPENSE ALLOWANCE/BICYCLE ALLOWANCE---DAILY MILEAGE LOG REIMBURSEMENT FOR USE OF PERSONAL VEHICLE FOR CITY BUSINESS

FOR MONTH OF

NAME:			FOR MONTH OF:	20
Date	Odomete Start	r Reading End	Destination/Purpose of Trip	Total Miles
Total Auto Mile	es @ \$.485	5/mile (\$.485)	TOTAL MILES: K Total Miles)	
Total Bicycle N	Miles @ \$.05/	Mile (\$.05 X T	otal Miles)	
			TOTAL REIMBURSEMENT:	
Comments:				-
I certify the abo	ve is a true and	d correct Stater	ment of Business Expense.	
SIGNATURE:				Date:
APPROVED:CITY AUDITOR				_ Date:
			4	

.

Final Review Checklist

Office of the City Auditor Gainesville, Florida

	Audit Title:	
	Auditor: Reviewer:	_
	Date:	
	Description Completed	W/P
1.	Audit Plan and Audit Program Audit Report and Management Response Auditor Assignment and Planning Sheet Auditor/Reviewer Independence Statement(s) Survey Checklist Supervisory Log ALGA Quality Control Review Guide Audit Data Sheet Audit Abstract sent to ALGA Local Government Auditors Newsletter Independent Review Form Finding Development Sheets	A.3 A.5 A.6 A.8 A.9 A.11 A.12 A.13 A.14
3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	All workpapers: Initialed and dated Include headings/titles Legible Workpapers numbered, indexed and cross referenced Calculations recomputed and verified Logic/reasoning behind findings, conclusions and recommendations verified Independent reviewer comments resolved Final Report page numbering verified and placed in Auditors Shared Drawer Final Report Formatted and Posted to Website Recommendations Entered in Follow-Up Administration Database	

10. Post Audit Survey sent to Appropriate Officials

Comments:

FOR APPENDICES
SEE WEBSITE/SHARED FOLDER: OFFICE POLICIES AND PROCEDURES
(FILES CONTAINING APPENDICES A-E AND F-O)